

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

10 September 2019

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Wiebel, J. and Admasie, S.A. (2019) 'Rethinking the Ethiopian red terror : approaches to political violence in revolutionary Ethiopia.', *The journal of African history.*, 60 (3). pp. 457-475.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021853719000768>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This article has been published in a revised form in *The Journal of African History*
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021853719000768>. This version is published under a Creative Commons CC-BY-NC-ND. No commercial re-distribution or re-use allowed. Derivative works cannot be distributed. © Cambridge University Press.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

RETHINKING THE ETHIOPIAN RED TERROR: APPROACHES TO POLITICAL VIOLENCE IN REVOLUTIONARY ETHIOPIA*

JACOB WIEBEL

University of Durham

SAMUEL ANDREAS ADMASIE

International Institute of Social History, Amsterdam

ABSTRACT

This article examines the role of trade unions and of the *Kebele* - the most local urban administrative structures of the Ethiopian state - in the making of the red terror, a period of unprecedented political violence that closely followed upon the Ethiopian revolution of 1974. Drawing on a broad range of new source materials - from labour union files to oral histories and East German State Security archives - the article shows how the red terror was in large part the product of synergies between diverse groups and actors within these structures, and how it was rooted in histories, motives and collaborations that have scarcely featured in the historiography of revolutionary Ethiopia. In turn, the red terror radically reshaped both trade unions and *Kebele* administrations, affording Ethiopian state actors unprecedented means of control over civil society and over urban residents.

INTRODUCTION

In 1974, the long reign of Emperor Haile Selassie I was brought to an end by a spiral of protests, mutinies and demonstrations that evolved into “sub-Saharan Africa’s one undoubted social revolution”.¹ A quick succession of changes including the establishment of a military government, the abolition of the monarchy and wide-ranging land reform radically reconfigured Ethiopia’s political structure and social relations. In light of the extensive violence of the following years, many Ethiopians soon forgot that the radical changes that swept across the country in 1974 had initially been celebrated as *Abyot yale menim dam* - a revolution without [the shedding of] any blood. Amid the turmoil generated by the Ethiopian revolution, unprecedented political openings had emerged: initiatives were launched to empower hitherto marginalised groups, fierce public debates took place over the path to a

* We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers and to Justin Willis for their insightful comments on an earlier version of this article. The first author received financial support for the research on which this article is founded from the Arts and Humanities Research Council. Corresponding author: Jacob Wiebel, jacob.wiebel@durham.ac.uk

¹ Christopher Clapham, ‘Revolution in Ethiopia’, book review in *The Journal of African History*, vol. 35 no.2, 333.

more equitable society and new political movements were formed. Yet accompanying and punctuating these changes were increasing instances of armed confrontation, and of state violence against dissenting groups. In urban Ethiopia, such conflict culminated in the red terror of 1977 and 1978, in which the country's new military government, known as the *Derg*, sanctioned and facilitated the systematic extrajudicial repression of opposition organisations. A decade after its end, a prominent *Derg* official described the red terror as "violence which had no parallel in Ethiopian history".²

It is certainly true that even in a century of Ethiopian history that has seen violent colonial occupation, border wars, decades of civil war and repeated famine, the red terror stands out as one of the most egregious instances of systematic human rights abuses.³ Tens of thousands were murdered, the precise number elusive because killings were often secretive and decentralised.⁴ Tens of thousands more were extrajudicially detained and heavily tortured. Hundreds of thousands found their lives transformed by the period's violence: losing children, siblings, relatives or friends, or living with physical and psychological impairments caused by torture. Many were forced into an exile so common that it contributed to the formation of a permanent Ethiopian diaspora, while those who stayed had to acquiesce to an authoritarian regime under which political freedoms collapsed alongside real wages. The political openings and widespread political activism of the Ethiopian revolution were undone and eclipsed by state violence during the red terror. The result was a politics far removed from the ideals of 1974 and more closely resembling that of many other African states in the wake of the 1973 oil crisis, marked by authoritarian rule, economic mismanagement and ethnonational insurgencies.

The terror gained infamy for the forms as much as the scale of its violence: bodies of executed regime opponents were displayed on the streets for days, bearing placards denouncing them as 'counter-revolutionaries'; parents were prohibited from mourning their slain children, prevented from performing burial rites and in some recorded instances - where officials had required more than one bullet for an execution - required to pay for the surplus ammunition used to kill their children.⁵ The red terror was overseen by the *Derg*, whose chairman Mengistu Haile Mariam publicly called for large-scale extrajudicial killings of those who opposed his regime's leadership of the revolution.⁶

The scale and brutality of the red terror reflected the threat to military rule posed by civilian Marxist opposition parties, which disputed the *Derg*'s right to lead the revolution and demanded an immediate transition to civilian rule. In 1976, the most significant of these groups, the Ethiopian People's Revolutionary Party (EPRP), augmented its small-scale rural

² Dawit Wolde Giorgis, *Red Tears: War, Famine and Revolution in Ethiopia* (Trenton, 1989).

³ The concept of 'red terror' is contested and has been put to work in pursuit of divergent political projects. The *Derg* invoked it in order to portray its violence as legitimate in the face of allegedly anti-socialist resistance and to cast its opponents as counter-revolutionaries. More recently, the concept has been used to compartmentalise and denounce particular forms of violence during this period, a shift that had also affected the conceptualisation of terror in the aftermath of the French revolution. This paper refers to 'red terror', in keeping with historiographical convention, to describe the violence sanctioned by the *Derg* and thus named at the time.

⁴ See the discussion in J. Wiebel, 'The Ethiopian Red Terror', *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History* (Oxford, 2017).

⁵ J. Abbink, 'The impact of violence: the Ethiopian "Red Terror" as a social phenomenon' in Peter J. Bräunlein and Andrea Lauser (eds.), *Krieg und Frieden: Ethnologische Perspektiven* (Bremen, 1995), 137; First author's interview with Kassahun Berhanu, 24 August 2012.

⁶ Bahru Zewde, 'The History of the Red Terror: contexts and consequences' in Tronvoll, Kjetil, Charles Schaefer and Girmachew Alemu Aneme, *The Ethiopian Red Terror Trials* (Oxford, 2009), 27.

insurgency with an urban guerrilla tactic. This strategy revolved around the targeted assassination of regime members and alleged collaborators, especially supporters of the rival All Ethiopia Socialist Movement (*Meison*). Widely remembered as ‘the white terror’ - an infelicitous epithet, as the Marxist EPRP also regarded its violence as revolutionary and hence as ‘red’⁷ - this anti-regime violence raised the stakes for the *Derg*, making the dismantling of the EPRP’s cell structure, social bases and operational capabilities a priority rivalling the fight against Eritrean rebels in the north. In a revolutionary situation perceived as a dangerous atrocity environment by many of its leading figures, red terror was conceived, organised and justified by *Derg* radicals as a matter of public security, revolutionary progress and national interest.

How the Ethiopian red terror should be understood and explained remains heavily contested. The historiography of the Ethiopian revolution has at times served as a platform for the continuation of political rivalries long settled on the ground, and continues to be replete with arguments intended to either justify or denounce particular actions or groups.⁸ Yet despite the diversity of viewpoints within this literature, the analytical areas of focus and the narrative frameworks employed to explain revolutionary violence have been surprisingly similar. Three concerns or approaches dominate the scholarship: firstly, a growing literature examines the dynamics of the student movement, which grew in size and militancy through the 1960s and issued in divisions and factions that energised the red terror.⁹ Secondly, several analyses have concentrated on the party politics and fragmentation that grew out of this student movement, dominated by the EPRP and *Meison*.¹⁰ And thirdly, some studies have traced the influence of power struggles within the *Derg* on the evolution of Ethiopia’s revolutionary violence.¹¹ The few studies that have treated the red terror as a subject in its own right, rather than merely as a violent aftereffect of the revolution, have combined all three of these approaches to make sense of its violence.¹²

These three foci are important and indeed necessary for explaining the Ethiopian red terror. However, they are also insufficient. Their common limitation concerns the level of analysis at which they operate: all three approaches presuppose the precedence of affairs of state, or of political movements that sought to revolutionise or capture the state apparatus, over alternative arenas of agency, meaning and tension.¹³ The result has been the assumption

⁷ *Democrasia*, vol.4, no.11, 5. First author’s interview with Gedion Wolde Amanuel, 19 March 2012.

⁸ Compare e.g. the distinct periodisation and account of culpability in Kiflu Tadesse, *The Generation, Part II: Ethiopia: Transformation and Conflict. The History of the Ethiopian People's Revolutionary Party* (Lanham, 1998) and in Andargachew Assegid, በአጭር የተቀጨ ረጅም ጉዞ: መኢሶን በኢትዮጵያ ሕዝቦች ትግል ውስጥ [‘A Long Journey Cut Short: Meison in the Struggle of the Ethiopian People’] (Addis Ababa, 1993 E.C. [2000]).

⁹ See esp. Bahru Zewde, *The Quest for Socialist Utopia: The Ethiopian Student Movement, c.1960-1974* (Oxford, 2014); Messay Kebede, *Radicalism and Cultural Dislocation in Ethiopia, 1960-1974* (Rochester, 2008); Paulos Milkias, *Haile Selassie, Western Education and Political Revolution in Ethiopia* (New York, 2006); R.R. Balsvik, *Haile Selassie's Students: The Intellectual and Social Background to Revolution, 1952-1974* (East Lansing, 1985).

¹⁰ See esp. Bahru Zewde, ‘The History’; Andargachew Assegid, በአጭር የተቀጨ ረጅም ጉዞ; Kiflu Tadesse, *The Generation, Part II*; Andargachew Tiruneh, *The Ethiopian Revolution, 1974-1987: a Transformation from an Aristocratic to a Totalitarian Autocracy* (Cambridge, 1993).

¹¹ See esp. Andargachew Tiruneh, *The Ethiopian Revolution*; R. Lefort, *Ethiopia: an heretical revolution?* (London 1983 [Paris, 1981]); F. Halliday and M. Molyneux, *The Ethiopian Revolution* (London, 1981).

¹² See Bahru Zewde, ‘The history of the Red Terror’; Gebru Tareke, ‘The Red Terror in Ethiopia: an historical aberration.’ *Journal of Developing Societies*, vol.24, no.2 (2008), 183-206.

¹³ The concept of ‘levels of analysis’ is here being used in the sense elaborated by P. Williams, *War and Conflict in Africa* (Cambridge, 2011), 35-52.

of a top-down model of state terror, whereby the meanings of violence originate only with actors and conflicts at the 'political centre' and all local violence is treated as reducible to these actors' decisions and interests. Where the sheer diversity and lack of discernible logic defining the terror on the ground precludes such reasoning, analysts have fallen back on a common analytical binary identified by Stathis Kalyvas:¹⁴ if violence cannot be explained by macro-political tensions and interests, it must be senseless and anarchic, the outworking of an unintelligible Hobbesian chaos.¹⁵

The persistence of such binary approaches to violence in the historiography of the Ethiopian revolution is both unfortunate and puzzling in light of insights developed by scholars working on conflicts elsewhere on the continent. In contexts as diverse as the Kenyan Mau Mau Emergency, the 1972 reprisals in Burundi, the Angolan civil war and the Rwandan genocide, historians have highlighted the extent to which political violence was shaped by the synergy of actors that operated at different 'levels of analysis' - from national government to local neighbourhoods - but within shared violence-facilitating institutional settings.¹⁶ In unfortunately characteristic isolation from wider developments in African Studies, historical work on the red terror in Ethiopia lags behind these approaches, limiting itself instead to the reproduction of interpretative frames within which local perpetrators and institutional contexts of violence can be treated as subsidiary and interchangeable.¹⁷ Nor are such frames limited to academic scholarship: in 2010, Ethiopia's most significant memorial to the period's violence, the "'Red Terror' Martyrs' Memorial Museum', opened in Addis Ababa's central Meskel Square. Its permanent exhibition represents the red terror as a straightforward if particularly brutal instance of state violence, designed and executed by the *Derg* and its security apparatus against a young generation that had stood up for greater freedom and justice in Ethiopian society. There is no sense in this narrative that political violence in revolutionary Ethiopia might have sprung from other types and arenas of antagonism or have been made possible by concomitant social and institutional changes, nor indeed that large numbers of civilians became complicit in the performance of this violence.

Over against such narratives, this paper demonstrates how revolutionary Ethiopia's political violence was shaped by agents, by institutional contexts and by social tensions in milieux that have hitherto been overlooked or mistakenly regarded as reducible to established interpretative frames. It was the negotiated interaction between actors operating in different spheres and at different levels of influence, rather than merely an imposition of state violence 'from above', that shaped and defined the red terror. In urban Ethiopia, these interactions were particularly concentrated and consequential within two settings: on the one hand in the labour movement with its associated struggle over control of trade unions, and on the other in the newly formed and most local administrative structures of the Ethiopian state in urban

¹⁴ S. Kalyvas, 'The ontology of "political violence": action and identity in civil wars.' *Perspectives on Politics*, vol.1, no.3 (2003), 475.

¹⁵ Thus e.g. Bahru Zewde. 'The History', 28-9.

¹⁶ See D. Branch, *Defeating Mau Mau, Creating Kenya: Counterinsurgency, Civil War and Decolonization* (Cambridge, 2009); A. Russell, 'Rebel and Rule in Burundi, 1972' *International Journal of African Historical Studies*, vol.48 no.1 (2015), 73-91; J. Pearce, *Political Identity and Conflict in Angola* (Cambridge, 2015); S. Straus, *The Order of Genocide: Race, Power and War in Rwanda* (Ithaca, 2006).

¹⁷ Comparative elements in studies of the red terror have been limited to engagement with the 'classical' revolutions of France and Russia - most explicitly in. Gebru Tareke, 'The Red Terror in Ethiopia' - and a discussion of divergent state violence in Ethiopia and Cambodia: E. Kissi, *Revolution and Genocide in Ethiopia and Cambodia* (Lanham, 2006).

areas, the *Kebele*. As this article argues, the histories of trade unions and *Kebeles*, and of the diverse actors who worked within these institutions, need to occupy a central role in our understanding of political violence in revolutionary Ethiopia.

Source materials for examining these histories are as rich as they are heterogeneous: the extensive archives of the Confederation of Ethiopian Labour Unions (CELU) are yet to be brought to bear on the historiography of the red terror, as are the surprisingly revealing files in the archive of the East German Ministry of State Security, which collaborated closely with the *Derg* from 1977.¹⁸ This article triangulates these archival materials with oral interviews as well as with materials familiar to scholars of the Ethiopian revolution - from government newspapers and radio broadcasts over clandestine pamphlets to government proclamations and diplomatic correspondence - to rethink the history of the Ethiopian red terror.

Read alongside each other, these sources suggest the need to rethink the history of revolutionary Ethiopia's political violence in at least the following three ways, explicated throughout this article: firstly, the scale, forms and targets of the red terror were closely tied to developments within trade unions and *Kebele* administrations, institutions which shaped this violence whilst also being radically and consequentially reshaped by it. Secondly, much of the period's political violence developed in marked continuity with pre-existing patterns of tension and conflict 'from below', among actors and groups that have not been accorded sufficient attention or recognition in the historiography. And finally, the history of the red terror needs to be recognised as the history of conflicts, synergies and negotiated alliances between these actors and the more familiar cast of protagonists in the red terror, including the leaders of the *Derg*. It was through these shifting alliances, marked by the mutual appropriation of the other's interests and resources, that the large-scale violence of Ethiopia's early revolutionary years was produced, with lasting consequences for social and state-society relations in Ethiopia.

REVISITING THE BERHANENA SELAM KILLINGS

The perhaps best-known killings of the red terror offer a revealing vantage point onto the need to rethink the period's violence. The events surrounding the extrajudicial killing of nine workers of the Berhanena Selam Printing Press are widely recounted: on 23 March 1977, the workers were arrested at their workplace in Addis Ababa's Arat Kilo neighbourhood before being detained, tortured and, later that night, shot dead. Among the executed was Daro Negash, a mother of eight who was due to deliver her ninth child within weeks. Their remains were found in a riverbed the following morning. Responsible for the assassinations was an *Abyot Tebeka* ['revolution defense'] squad commanded by *Kebele* chairman Girma Kebede, an enthusiastic participant in the red terror. Even in revolutionary times, the killing and inhumane disposal of a heavily pregnant mother triggered such public outrage that *Derg* leaders felt compelled to distance themselves from this violence; ten days later, Girma Kebede and five of his aides were themselves publicly executed.¹⁹

¹⁸ Officially the archives of 'The Federal Commissioner for the Records of the State Security Service of the former German Democratic Republic', located in Berlin.

¹⁹ *Ethiopian Herald*, 'Six Persons Executed for Dastardly Inhuman Murder of 24 Persons', 3 April 1977. First author's interview with Estifanos Terefe, who witnessed the public executions as a teenager, 10 September 2012.

In the historiography, the murder of Daro Negash and her colleagues is held up as the paradigm case of “gratuitous killings by psychotics” set loose by the terror, an act betraying a mind-set that “only a psychiatrist could fathom”.²⁰ Maaza Mengiste’s novel *Beneath the Lion’s Gaze* sees a protagonist describe the murder as the epitome of the terror’s senseless violence: “all she did was work at a printing press [and they] killed her. A pregnant woman!”²¹ Since the killings of Daro Negash and her colleagues do not seem to fit with the known rationales for political violence in revolutionary Ethiopia, they are instead ascribed to the realm of individual psychopathology.²²

Yet when the background to the Berhanena Selam killings is more closely examined, palpable rationales for this violence begin to appear, pointing to the importance of understanding arenas of agency and contestation that insufficiently feature in the historiography of the red terror. For whereas it is true that Girma Kebede may have had personal reasons to embrace his role with such abandon - rumours abound in Addis Ababa to this day about his traumatic childhood, social anxiety to fit in and experience of having been bullied at school - he was also following general directives and acting on sound information when he ordered his squad to carry out the executions. Upon closer inspection, the Berhanena Selam killings illustrate not so much the empowerment of irrational psychopaths as the aggregate logic of the red terror, shaped by the interplay between local information and initiative on the one hand and regime policy on the other. For whereas in public memory Daro Negash’s identity as a mother and employee has rendered her other identities invisible, her executioners were well aware of her varied roles and activities. The Berhanena Selam killings were carried out in line with a clear, if horrific, political logic.

In the years preceding her murder, Daro Negash had emerged as an important trade union leader at the Berhanena Selam printing press. She was also a militant activist and Central Committee member in the clandestine Ethiopian Workers’ Revolutionary Union (ELAMA), an affiliate of the EPRP. Eighteen months earlier she had signed a Confederation of Labour Unions (CELU) resolution condemning the policies of the *Derg* and threatening a general strike.²³ Subsequently, she had ensured that workers of her union would be among the few who honoured the strike call.²⁴ Daro Negash’s residence had hosted secret ELAMA Central Committee gatherings, and she had previously been arrested for disrupting a meeting that sought to organise a pro-regime industrial federation.²⁵ All victims of the 23 March 1977 murders had been denounced in a workers’ discussion forum - a common event across Ethiopian workplaces during the red terror, designed to expose regime opponents among workers and workers’ representatives - by two colleagues, who had summoned Girma

²⁰ Clapham, *Transformation and Continuity*, 56; Bahru Zewde, ‘The History’, 19.

²¹ Maaza Mengiste, *Beneath the Lion’s Gaze: A Novel* (New York, 2010), 234.

²² As Hannah Arendt observed in *Eichmann in Jerusalem* (New York, 1963), pointing to individual dispositions in contexts of systematic violence is common but rarely provides satisfactory explanations. Cf. the excellent discussion in M. Huggins, M. Haritos-Fatouros and P. Zimbardo, *Violence Workers: Police Torturers and Murderers Reconstruct Brazilian Atrocities* (Berkeley, 2002). For a recent examination of an African parallel, see D. Anderson, ‘British Abuse and Torture in Kenya’s Counter-Insurgency, 1952-1960’, *Small Wars and Insurgencies*, vol. 23 no.4 (2012), 700-19.

²³ Confederation of Ethiopian Trade Unions Archives (CETUA), CELU resolution, ‘ከመስከረም 8-11-1968 ዓ.ም. ድረስ ተሰብስቦ የነበረው የኢ.ሠ.ማ. ልዩ ጠቅላላ ጉባኤ ያሳለፋቸው ውሳኔዎች’, 1975.

²⁴ A.W. Hummel Jr, ‘Wildcat Strikes Appear to Wane’, Cable 1975ADDIS11720_b from the US Embassy in Addis Ababa, October 1, 1975, Public Library of US Diplomacy. Available on <https://wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/1975ADDIS11720_b.html>. [Last accessed 22 July 2019].

²⁵ Kiflu Tadesse, *The Generation, Part II*, 151-3.

Kebede and his *Abyot Tebeka* squad from the local *Kebele* for the occasion.²⁶ The Berhanena Selam killings, then, do not illustrate the gratuitous and meaningless violence of the red terror. Instead, they highlight the need to understand the joint production of the terror by actors operating at different levels of spatial and political influence, and especially the need to do so in relation to the violent struggles over the Ethiopian labour movement and in the context of the *Kebele* structures, which mediated much of this interaction.

THE LABOUR MOVEMENT AND THE RED TERROR

In view of the important role played by the labour movement in the politics of early revolutionary Ethiopia, it is surprising that the sizeable CELU archives relating to the movement have not been more closely examined by researchers, probably one reason why connections between labour activism and red terror have hitherto not been rigorously scrutinised. These connections took three main forms: firstly, the labour movement represented an important arena of struggle. Its ‘capture’ was important for instrumental reasons: not only was it seen to bestow legitimacy by verifying the Marxist and proletarian credentials claimed by all conflicting parties, but the Confederation of Ethiopian Labour Unions and its constituent unions were regarded as organisational assets, whose membership needed to be mobilised, or, barring that possibility, at the very least pacified. An augmenting factor was the disruptive power that workers showcased in the initial stages of the revolution. As an arena in which revolutionary struggle and red terror were played out, the labour movement was caught up in a process that would fundamentally transform it.

A second connection: the workers and institutions of the labour movement were prime targets of revolutionary violence. Where such institutions did not prove sufficiently malleable, or even appeared openly hostile to the *Derg*, they were actively repressed. The violence directed at the labour movement was at once particularly intense and exceptionally focused. Whereas other urban residents risked becoming victims of *Kebele* squads, of search teams or of the various security arms of the state, workers were subject to these risks *as well as* to the dangers posed by designated factory militias, factory *Abyot Tebeka* squads, and workplace red terror committees. Both the *Derg* and oppositional political movements invested significant political and organisational resources into the struggle over the labour movement, resulting in levels of violence that were exceptional even in years of red terror.

A third connection between the labour movement and the red terror concerns the role of workers as agents of revolutionary violence. Numerous workers participated in armed squads formed at their work places, designed specifically to recruit workers. In addition to workplace militias and *Abyot Tebeka* squads, these also included armed groups organised by ELAMA.²⁷ The labour movement and its membership thus represented an arena, an object and a subject of revolutionary violence. To demonstrate the extent to which political violence was rooted in and shaped by the labour movement, it is necessary to briefly trace the history of the movement’s tensions and struggles up to their peak in the early revolutionary period.

By the time the Ethiopian revolution broke out in 1974, organised labour in the country –

²⁶ *Ethiopian Herald*, ‘Six Persons Executed for Dastardly Inhuman Murder of 24 Persons’, 3 April 1977. For an extensive description of such meetings, see UK National Archives, FCO 31/2257-29, ‘Embassy Cable to EAD and FCO’, 25 April 1978.

²⁷ Kiflu Tadesse. *The Generation, Part II*, 151-3.

apart from the syndicate of railway workers – had only existed for a decade and a half. From the outset, the labour movement had been beset by conflicting dynamics. On the one hand, state actors had brought their power to bear on the movement so as to ensure the prevalence of a moderate ‘business unionist’ leadership, which in turn spawned and supported the emergence of moderate and loyal leaders in basic unions. At the same time, however, a militant undercurrent stood in opposition to the deferential policies of such leaders, growing progressively stronger through the 1960s and early 1970s. This labour opposition took a number of expressions: it attempted to have the moderate leadership outvoted on congresses of the General Assembly, and it had a number of representatives serving in influential posts. It was also a dominant force in many basic unions, where it was the driving force of wildcat strikes that took place across the country.²⁸ Although moderates retained the top positions within CELU, the militants gained increasing influence within central bodies and basic unions over time. This eventually pushed the Confederation into adopting an increasingly militant stance.

Propelled by the gathering groundswell of militant energies among workers and constituent unions, CELU was thus involved in two highly successful strikes in 1973 and 1974. First, it organised solidarity measures with striking bank workers, thereby forcing the government to concede to the latter’s demands. Then, after having the executive leadership subvert and stall General Assembly decisions to call a general strike for several years, a successful one was finally called in March 1974, again forcing the government to concede. The strike, which fed into the growing momentum of the 1974 revolution, led to a surge in prestige for CELU as well as in the number of organised workers. Their officially recognised number grew from around 80.000 before the strike to around 125.000 a few months after, although the number of workers that participated in informal networks and practices, including the strike, was probably far greater.²⁹ The strike also discredited the moderate leadership, spelling the end of its incumbency. With the militant labour opposition ascendant, CELU was becoming an increasingly vocal force, on collision course with state authorities. When, in September 1974, the *Derg* seized power and suspended democratic rights, CELU’s General Assembly protested and threatened to call another strike. Over the coming year, CELU and the *Derg* would be engaged in a mutually hostile tug-of-war, with labour leaders arrested and released, offices closed and reopened, and expressions of workers’ discontent actively repressed. Regime efforts to re-establish a loyal and deferential Confederation by administrative means alone proved futile, convincing the *Derg* to increasingly resort to the use of violence instead. When ELAMA, the dominant organisation within the radical labour opposition, reciprocated this move, the stage was set for a militarised showdown.

Violence was not, however, a novel feature of labour struggles that emerged only after the revolution. Mere months after CELU’s formation in 1963, state actors had first intervened to repress and replace its elected leadership. In the aftermath, veteran labour activist Abera Gemu was found shot dead.³⁰ Over the following decade, violent repression was a constant

²⁸ T. Killion, ‘Workers, Capital and the State in the Ethiopian Region’ (unpublished PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1985); Emmanuel Fayessa Negassa, ‘The Confederation of Ethiopian Labour Unions and its First General Strike (March 7–11, 1974): Causes and Impact’, (unpublished MA thesis, Cornell University, 1977); S.A. Admasie, ‘Dynamics of Assertive Labour Movementism in Ethiopia: Organised Labour, Unrest and Wages in a Socio-Historical Perspective’ (unpublished PhD thesis, Universities of Basel and Pavia, 2018).

²⁹ *Ethiopian Herald*, ‘Organized Labour Grows in Strength in This Country’, 25 July 1974.

³⁰ Whether Abera was assassinated or felt compelled to take his own life has not been conclusively determined. Either way, as Killion has compellingly argued, ‘the cause of his death was state repression’:

threat to labour activists. This included casual harassment, arrests and physical force against union leaders and organisers, police brutality against strikers and unruly workers, and even incidents involving security forces opening fire on groups of protesting workers.³¹ But workers also initiated and engaged in violent contestation. This could take the form of physical assault on representatives of management.³² More commonly, it consisted of violence within unions as different factions jockeyed for dominance.³³ Yet although violence had thus been a feature of the labour movement from its inception, it intensified substantially following the revolution and the establishment of military rule.

In 1975, the *Derg* declared the forced dissolution of CELU. This was followed by protracted efforts to set up new trade union structures loyal to the regime. The basic unions were retained, although subjected to pressure to elect new, regime-supporting leaders. This strategy encountered great difficulties, as oppositional workers displayed a tendency to re-elect the same ‘undesirable’ representatives whom the *Derg* was seeking to remove. Moreover, clandestine structures, most prominent among which was ELAMA, were set up to fight back within both the basic unions and the new structures. The resistance of workers to the reorganisation schemes imposed from above caused such frustration to regime officials that, when violence began to be unleashed on the wider urban opposition in late 1976, the labour opposition constituted a primary target.³⁴

The centrality of the labour movement in the process leading up to the Red Terror can be illustrated with reference to the gradual escalation of violent events involving labour activists throughout the years following the revolution, concentrated around the annually marked celebrations of the revolution’s anniversary and of May Day. Thus in September of 1974, the newly established *Derg* administration came into immediate conflict with CELU. After the latter threatened a general strike, the *Derg* clamped down on the Confederation, arresting workers participating in the strike as well as union leaders. Over the coming two years, similar events would occur each September, marking the ratcheting up of the conflict. In 1975, CELU’s General Assembly passed a resolution deeply critical of the *Derg*, outlining a series of radical demands and threatening a strike should these not be met.³⁵ By this time, the *Derg* was prepared to respond with lethal force to such expressions of dissent, killing an estimated 100 workers and representatives while arresting an estimated 1600 others.³⁶ The aftermath saw a state of emergency being declared, which banned strikes, slowdowns, public meetings and leaflet distribution, while according security forces the right to shoot suspected offenders on the spot.³⁷ This sequence of events was closely paralleled by yet more dramatic dynamics in September of 1976: ELAMA called a general strike, which coincided with the EPRP’s first assassination attempt on Mengistu Haile Mariam. This strike attempt was to be

Killion, ‘Workers’, 467.

³¹ Killion, ‘Workers’, 537.

³² One example of such violence was organised by the leader of the Dire Dawa Textile Factory. See Killion, ‘Workers’, 508.

³³ Second author’s personal communication with Demmelash Tebekaw, 7 May 2015; Killion, ‘Workers’, 512-3.

³⁴ Admasie, ‘Dynamics’; Kiflu Tadesse, *ኢትዮጵያ ሆይ*, part I (Addis Ababa, 2016).

³⁵ CETUA, CELU resolution ‘ከመስከረም 8-11-1968 ዓ.ም. ድረስ ተሰብስቦ የነበረው የኢ.ሠ.ማ. ልዩ ጠቅላላ ጉባኤ ያሳለፋቸው ውሳኔዎች’, 1975.

³⁶ ICFTU Archives, Institute of Social History Amsterdam, F. Luyimbazi, ‘Report on the Main Activities of ICFTU Research Office and General Labour Situation in Ethiopia, From October 1974 to date, January 9’ (1976).

³⁷ *Ethiopian Herald*, ‘PMAC Declares State of Emergency’, 1 October 1975.

the last offensive move by oppositional workers, as the repression that followed included the execution of hundreds of strikers and protestors.³⁸

May Day celebrations in 1976 and 1977 similarly escalated into violent confrontations between demonstrators and the *Derg*. In 1976, around 20 workers, who had been carrying oppositional banners, were fired on and killed by security forces.³⁹ The following year, demonstrations called by ELAMA in collaboration with the EPRP's Youth League for the eve of May Day became the subject to the most intense urban violence of the entire revolutionary period, as machine guns were trained on demonstrators, thousands were arrested and hundreds of these detainees were executed overnight.⁴⁰ This progression of events demonstrates the gradual escalation of confrontations over the labour movement, which culminated in and substantially shaped the red terror of 1977 and 1978. It began with state actors' intolerance of autonomous organisation, progressed to the banning of institutions and the arrest of leaders who refused to be coopted, before it graduated to the deployment of lethal force against dissenters and finally to the mass execution of civilians.

Files from the CELU archives demonstrate how, once the red terror was proclaimed, unions and union officials were integrated into networks of repression through new violence-facilitating institutions such as the workers' discussion forums, the *Abyot Tebeka* squads, and workplace red terror committee. Union officials cooperated in the organisation, arming, and drafting of workers into squads and militias, and they frequently cooperated in identifying targets. The formal structures of the labour movement were increasingly conjoined to those of the new repressive institutions and initiatives, from *Abyot Tebeka* squads to workplace meetings designed to elicit denunciations. The statutes of *Abyot Tebeka* squads established at factories across the country included stipulations that they work closely with the local trade union, that only members of the trade union were eligible to join, and that the squads' commanding committee include a trade union representative.⁴¹

This entanglement led to cases in which trade unions took part in the purges of their own members and representative structures. In 1978, for example, the national confederation of trade unions, now renamed All Ethiopia Trade Union (AETU), reported that an unspecified number of "right roaders" - a common derogatory term for *Meison* sympathisers - in the leadership of its local union at the Metahara sugar factory had been identified, subjected to the workplace red terror committee, and executed.⁴² In other cases, unions intervened and pleaded the innocence of detained workers to authorities and ad-hoc committees. Thus in 1977, for example, AETU President Temesgen Madebo, who himself was to meet a violent death later that year, wrote to the *Derg* asking for a factory worker, who had killed an alleged EPRP squad member in the Akaki industrial district, to be released from detention.⁴³ In some cases the authorities intervened, while in others the revolutionary guards and red terror committees themselves acceded to such requests. Invariably, however, the release of

³⁸ Public Library of US Diplomacy, Cable 1976ADDIS10880_b from the US Embassy in Addis Ababa, October 8 1976 by A.T. Tienken, 'Tensions in Addis Ababa' [available on https://wikileaks.org/plusd/cables/1976ADDIS10880_b.html] [last accessed on 22 July 2019].

³⁹ Andargachew Assegid በአጭር የተቀጨ ረጅም ጉዞ, 339.

⁴⁰ J. Wiebel, 'Revolutionary Terror Campaigns in Addis Ababa' (unpublished PhD thesis, University of Oxford, 2014), 157f.

⁴¹ CETUA, PMAC memorandum 'Provisional Revolutionary Guard Committee የአብዮት ጥብቃ ኮሚቴዎች የተቋቋሙባቸው ድርጅቶች በ26-1-70 የታጠቁ', 1977.

⁴² CETUA, AETU report 'ከግንቦት 11 እስከ ጳምሌ 29 ቅን ድርስ ምን ተሰራ? ሪፖርት', 1978.

⁴³ CETUA, Letter to the PMAC from Temesgen Madebo 'ስለ ጓድ ዓለማየሁ ኃይሉ', 9 March 1977.

detainees resulted in a formal announcement being sent to concerned institutions, including the detained workers' *Kebele*. Such announcements raised suspicions with local authorities, which would increase the risk of further persecution. If unions were not always involved in the meting out violence, they could not escape their centrality as arenas of the red terror. Neither could they escape becoming targets of violence, as the movement bifurcated and the divisions deepened, demanding that each basic union pick a side.

The division between a moderate and a militant strand of the labour movement, which had been one of its features since the early 1960, thus escalated and intersected with the dominant conflicts of the early revolutionary era to such an extent that it became a major fault line in the wider red terror. By 1977, trade union activism was an exceptionally dangerous activity even by the altered standards of the time: among the hundreds of union officials, representatives and workers who paid with their lives were the last President of CELU, Markos Hagos, as well as the first two Presidents of AETU, Tewodros Bekele and Temesgen Madebo. Yet as the preceding discussion demonstrates, the violence that occurred within the labour movement cannot - as is routinely the case within the historiography - be reduced to the familiar division between the *Derg* and its allies on the one hand and the EPRP on the other.⁴⁴ Instead, the intensity of these divisions itself needs to be viewed in light of the preceding decade of building tensions and pressures within and over the labour movement. Behind the Berhanena Selam killings and similar executions, in other words, lay a history of profound struggle over the control and use of trade unions, which fueled a determination among regime loyalists at the press to resolve these tensions by eliminating the militant strand of the labour movement, which Daro Negash and her colleagues were seen as embodying. It was to this end that Girma Kebede and his notorious *Abyot Tebeka* squad were summoned from the local *Kebele*.

THE *KEBELE* AND THE RED TERROR

The importance of rethinking the role of the labour movement in energising and shaping the red terror is paralleled by the need to reexamine the pivotal role played by the *Kebele* administrations in revolutionary Ethiopia's urban violence. Within the context of the *Kebele* as within the labour movement, the red terror was shaped by histories and agents that have been accorded insufficient attention in narratives about the period, yet whose interactions with the much-studied politics of state and opposition parties were decisive in generating and defining the political violence of the early revolutionary years. Established in the aftermath of the revolution as the most local administrative structures of the Ethiopian state, the *Kebele* gradually became central to the *Derg*'s repression of urban opponents. The influence of the *Kebele* on the scale, forms and meaning of the red terror, though variously acknowledged in the literature, has hitherto not been closely examined.⁴⁵ Nor has the extent to which the *Kebele* themselves were transformed through their role in the red terror, with lasting consequences for Ethiopian politics, been sufficiently recognised. The following section

⁴⁴ For an example of such a reduction, see D. and M. Ottaway, *Ethiopia: Empire in Revolution*, (New York, 1978), 185-186.

⁴⁵ Though see an exceptional recently published case study of the red terror in Addis Ababa's *Kefteгна* 15, written from the perspective of the EPRP: Nesibu Sebat, ፍፁም ነው አምነቲ። ቀይ ሽብር፣ የከፍተኛ 15 አውነተኛ ታሪክ። ['My absolute loyalty: the history of the red terror in Kefteгна 15'] (Gaithersburg, 2015).

discusses several important connections between *Kebele* and red terror, arguing that the history of each needs to be rethought in light of these connections to the other. By drawing on and triangulating an exceptional breadth of sources, from oral interviews to foreign archives, it highlights the agency and interaction of a diverse range of actors in leveraging the *Kebele* for their purposes, giving rise to a synergy that fuelled and shaped the red terror at the local level.

The structure of the *Kebele*, groups of which were organised into *Kefteгна* ['higher'] administrations, was established by the *Derg* in August 1975.⁴⁶ Over the preceding weeks, numerous urban properties had been nationalised. The *Kebele* were initially founded with the twin goals of encouraging grassroots political mobilisation and participation as well as of administering the distribution, rent and upkeep of these properties.⁴⁷ During their first year, many *Kebeles* began to organise thriving Youth Associations, some established Women's Associations and all held mandatory political education sessions and *Weyeyet Kebeboch* ['discussion circles'] for residents. The early *Kebeles* functioned with an exceptional degree of democratic practice, holding open elections that saw leadership positions distributed to an eclectic mix of respected community elders, covert opposition sympathisers and outspoken supporters of the *Derg*. At the same time, the administrative evolution of the *Kebele* began to make the potential of these structures as coercive governance tools apparent to *Derg* officials: households were numbered, residents were closely monitored by local *Kebele* officials and many were successfully mobilised for rallies and political education events. Gradually, the structure of *Kebele* and *Kefteгна* began to afford the *Derg* a degree of local information and control over urban society with few parallels in post-colonial Africa.

The coercive potential of the *Kebele* was furthered a year later, in October 1976, when the *Derg* radically expanded the powers invested in the officials staffing these structures. Administrators whose jobs had initially revolved around menial administrative tasks and efforts at political mobilisation were now charged with the control of "counter-revolutionary activities" within their neighbourhoods, widely displacing the regular police forces.⁴⁸ The reforms came in response to the EPRP's launch of an urban guerrilla strategy a month earlier, which had claimed its first high profile victim in prominent Meison member Fikre Merid on 1 October.⁴⁹ Meison leaders and their allies within the *Derg* demanded that actors within the *Kebele* be armed in response to this growing oppositional threat; the October 1976 reforms began to meet these demands.⁵⁰ Renewed *Kebele* elections were held a week after the reforms were introduced, and probably manipulated, to ensure that all *Kebeles* were staffed by personnel loyal to the military government.⁵¹ Henceforth, significant resources were put at the disposal of *Kebele* officials. These included makeshift jails, built for the detention and interrogation of suspected government opponents across all *Keftegnas* and most *Kebeles*, as well as the formation of *Abyot Tebeka* militias, systematically armed by the *Derg* from March 1977. *Kebele* officials themselves were effectively turned into violence workers, encouraged

⁴⁶ Thomas Ofcansky and LaVerle Berry (eds.), *Ethiopia: A Country Study* (Washington, 1991), 230.

⁴⁷ Abera Yemane Ab, *The Defeat of the Ethiopian Revolution*, 92; Clapham, *Transformation and Continuity*, 51. Interview with Abera Yemane Ab, 13 December 2012.

⁴⁸ C. Clapham, *Transformation and Continuity in Revolutionary Ethiopia* (Cambridge, 1988), 55; Lefort, *An Heretical Revolution*, 171-2. Cf. Dereje Workayehu, 'A history of police and policing in Addis Ababa under the Derg regime, 1974-1991' (unpublished MA Thesis in History, Addis Ababa University, 2009), 80.

⁴⁹ *Ethiopian Herald*, 2 October 1976, 'Fikre Merid Killed Here by Anarchists'.

⁵⁰ Wudu Tafete, 'Meison', 24. First author's interview with Abera Yemane Ab, 13 December 2012.

⁵¹ Bahru Zewde, 'The history of the Red Terror', 27.

to forcefully eliminate opposition activists from their districts. Since these *Kebele* officials were also afforded substantial autonomy and impunity in exercising their new powers, the degree of repression and the resultant forms of red terror that emerged across urban Ethiopia's neighbourhoods over the following months varied widely, and were rarely reducible to *Derg* interests or initiatives.

The spatial pattern and varying forms of the red terror were particularly determined by two factors: firstly, neighbourhoods with a sizeable EPRP membership tended to be those in which *Kebele* officials and their *Abyot Tebeka* squads established the most intense and public reigns of terror. Thus in Addis Ababa, the areas around Merkato, Gulele, Tekle Haymanot, and from Piassa north-eastwards to Arat Kilo and Sidist Kilo became particular hotspots of revolutionary violence. This was not merely a result of regime directives, which tended to generically call for the "weeding out of counter-revolutionary elements" and left much room for the agency of local actors in shaping the terror.⁵² Rather, those who worked for the *Derg* within the *Kebele* structures of these neighbourhoods were perceived as particular threats to the EPRP and therefore regularly became targets of the party's assassination campaign.⁵³ With their own lives constantly threatened and their personal security depending on the rapid eradication of EPRP activists in their neighbourhoods, *Kebele* officials and their militias in these areas were prone to establish brutal and public reigns of terror, which usually consisted of widespread detentions marked by routine torture, extrajudicial executions and the public display of killed bodies.⁵⁴

A second factor influenced the diverse forms and intensity of the red terror in different areas: since *Kebele* officials tended to be elected from within neighbourhoods that they were socially embedded in, their new positions and authority often allowed them to redress local histories of societal tension and structural violence. It was not uncommon for *Kebele* officials, as well as for other agents of red terror at the local level, to personally know their victims: not only did they live and often grow up in the same neighbourhoods, there were also cases in which they belonged to the same *iddir* ['mutual help associations'] or even, in rare instances, to the same households.⁵⁵ Such local ties and their histories widely affected how the red terror was expressed, and whom it targeted, on the ground. In some instances, *Kebele* officials used their position to protect suspected activists.⁵⁶ In others, they appropriated the rhetoric and resources put at their disposal by the *Derg* to act upon local animosities or personal grievances. This latter dynamic is apparent in the well-documented case of Kelbessa Negewo, the notorious chairman of *Kefteгна* 09 during the peak of the red terror.

Like other *Kefteгна* and *Kebele* officials at the time, Kelbessa was charged with suppressing and dismantling oppositional networks within the district under his control; like them, he relied on a strategy of detaining numerous local suspects in order to interrogate them, regularly using forms of torture. Elicited confessions and denunciations allowed for the mapping of clandestine cells and triggered further searches, arrests, and in many cases

⁵² The use of depersonalising language derived from agriculture and chemistry was common. For *Derg*-authorised English-language examples, see for example these headlines in the *Ethiopian Herald*: 'Revolutionary Justice Awaits Plotters: Government Warns Anarchist Elements', 22 September 1976; 'To Root Out Internal Reactionary Elements: Second Round Search Campaign Begins Here', 8 May 1977.

⁵³ First author's interview with Kebebe Assefa, 24 August 2012.

⁵⁴ Lefort, *An Heretical Revolution*, 241-2.

⁵⁵ First author's interview with Ermias Wolde Amlak, 7 March 2012.

⁵⁶ First author's interview with Dawit Terefe, 27 December 2011. Hiwot Teferra, *Tower in the Sky*, 361f.

executions. Such efforts at “weeding out counter-revolutionaries” served the interests of the *Derg*, to which he also regularly applied for firearms and ammunition to intensify his activities.⁵⁷ Kelbessa’s detainees, however, were chosen according to criteria that were shaped by the local history of social relations in the district as much as by government directives. Kelbessa’s efforts targeted particularly those whom he knew to have benefitted from a privileged upbringing under the imperial regime. Even decades later, when three of his victims sued him for torture in a US Court, he disparagingly described them as “the feudal children”. Class did not necessarily map onto opposition to the *Derg* in this Gulele neighbourhood.⁵⁸ But there were personal reasons why this became a criterion that defined Kelbessa’s actions: his own upbringing and prospects had been shaped by the structural inequalities of pre-revolutionary Ethiopia. When Kelbessa had earned a scholarship to study Public Health in the United States, for instance, his scholarship had, in his view, been lost to the nepotistic machinations of a senior official in the imperial regime, whose nephew went in his place.⁵⁹ His *Keftegna* position in the context of the red terror allowed Kelbessa, like many other perpetrators in the red terror, to redress and reverse such power relations at the local level.

In some cases, the red terror was yet more transparently shaped by the personal motives of *Kebele* officials. Girma Kebede, who oversaw the Berhanena Selam killings, is said to have killed his former chemistry teacher over a dispute.⁶⁰ Other officials had particular residents detained in order to confiscate desirable property: “if you had a nice car,” one informant recalled about his *Kebele* in the Tekle Haymanot neighbourhood, “there was the danger of being accused of it being used for EPRP robberies and confiscated, with you being taken to prison.”⁶¹ What these examples demonstrate is that the red terror cannot be reduced to the familiar macro-political divisions and policies of the *Derg*. Instead, its violence was also substantially shaped by the motives and agency of actors at *Kebele* level, and by their interaction with the interests and guidelines of the *Derg*. Local histories, animosities and social relations need to be understood as central factors, rather than merely circumstantial ones, in the making of a terror that was jointly produced by a diverse range of actors. This perspective, neglected in the historiography, is invariably adopted in oral interviews by those who either experienced or perpetrated red terror-related violence within the context of the *Kebele*, and it features prominently in several of the memoirs recently published by survivors of the red terror.⁶²

Continuities between local histories of everyday violence and the red terror as perpetrated at *Kebele* level also came to the fore in tensions between *Abyot Tebeka* squads and armed EPRP units in the Merkato neighbourhood. Both groups recruited members from criminal gangs that had operated in the area since the late imperial era. One gang, known as the ‘China Group’, had built its influence by extorting protection money from local merchants,

⁵⁷ Abebe-Jira vs Negew, United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit, no.93-9133.

⁵⁸ First author’s interviews with Dawit Terefe, 27 December 2011, and with Ermias Wolde Amlak, 23 March 2012.

⁵⁹ A. Rice, ‘The Long Interrogation’, *The New York Times*, 4 June 2006.

⁶⁰ First author’s interview with Akililu Kebede, 20 August 2012.

⁶¹ First author’s interview with Kahssay Tekeste, 19 March 2012; Ermias Wolde Amlak recalls a parallel case in his own *Kebele* in the Sidist Kilo neighbourhood, in which a General was killed by an *Abyot Tebaki* who then confiscated and drove his “desirable” car: first author’s interview, 29 March 2012.

⁶² For a striking example, see the account of her *Keftegna* detention in Hiwot Teferra, *Tower in the Sky*.

resembling “a mafia in the making”.⁶³ Its criminal activities and violent feuding with local rivals, notably the aptly named ‘Genghis Group’, is reflected in the records of the police chronicle *Polis Enna Ermeja* and gained notoriety across the capital.⁶⁴ In the context of revolutionary Ethiopia’s escalating political conflicts, the ‘China Group’ appears to have been politicised, throwing its weight behind the clandestine EPRP. The gang henceforth carried out its activities in the name of the party and of its political goals. The ‘Genghis Group’ underwent a parallel transformation but instead allied itself with *Meison* and was drafted into local *Abyot Tebeka* militias, which received arms and training to repress the EPRP.⁶⁵ One former EPRP activist noted that the ‘China Group’, like other local criminal gangs including the ‘Kimbibit Group’ and the ‘Urael Group’, was “taken over, disciplined and organised for the revolution” by the EPRP; putting their skills honed as criminals into the service of larger political projects, gang members “became wonderful revolutionaries”.⁶⁶ Yet behind the political conversion and successful mobilisation, celebrated and instrumentalised by the EPRP and the *Derg*, there were significant continuities with pre-existing local histories: gang members’ activities continued to revolve around the extortion of money from local traders and the conflict with each other.⁶⁷ As in other cases in which the means of state violence were devolved to civilians for counter-insurgency purposes, such violence was fueled and reshaped by the social ties and interests of its local agents even as their community relations were renegotiated in light of the dominant political conflicts of the day and the monopolisation of discourse that attended them.⁶⁸

The *Kebele* became central to the red terror because it was on these recently established institutions that the attention of actors operating at very different levels of influence was focused. The extent to which this was true not only for the local actors discussed thus far and for government leaders, but also for intelligence agents and even for some of the *Derg*’s international allies in the context of the global Cold War, is revealed by an unlikely and hitherto ignored set of sources: the archives of the East German Ministry of State Security (MfS, commonly known as ‘the Stasi’). Due to the close diplomatic relations developed at the time of the red terror between the *Derg* and socialist bloc states, including the German Democratic Republic, these archives contain rich materials on Ethiopia’s secret services and local violence.⁶⁹ The new diplomatic ties between Ethiopia and socialist states famously resulted in the decisive military intervention of the Soviet Union and Cuba in the Ogaden war, and in a broad range of economic partnerships.⁷⁰ Less well-known is that they also issued in close collaborations between Ethiopian intelligence services and their Soviet,

⁶³ M. di Nunzio, *The Act of Living: Street Life, Marginality, and Development in Urban Ethiopia* (Ithaca, 2019), 65.

⁶⁴ *Polis Enna Ermeja*, year 16, no.18, 6 August 1976. First author’s interview with Gedion Wolde Amanuel, Addis Ababa, 19 March 2012.

⁶⁵ di Nunzio, *The Act of Living*, 107.

⁶⁶ First author’s interview with Yibkaw Wolde Tsadik, Addis Ababa, 10 March 2012.

⁶⁷ Mohamed Yiman, *Wore Negari* (Bloomington, 2013), 146-7.

⁶⁸ Compare e.g. Branch, *Defeating Mau Mau*, 216-7.

⁶⁹ R. Yordanov, *The Soviet Union and the Horn of Africa during the Cold War: Between Ideology and Pragmatism* (Lanham, 2016);

⁷⁰ B. Unfried, ‘Friendship and Education, Coffee and Weapons: exchanges between socialist Ethiopia and the German Democratic Republic’, *Northeast African Studies*, vol. 16, no.1 (2016), 15-38. For a recent history of these diplomatic ties, see R. Yordanov, *The Soviet Union and the Horn of Africa during the Cold War: Between Ideology and Pragmatism* (Lanham, 2016).

Czech, Hungarian, Bulgarian and especially East German counterparts.⁷¹

The partnership between the Stasi and the *Derg*'s intelligence apparatus ran along two tracks: the first concerned the provision of modern equipment and training to the Ethiopian services. In August 1977, East Berlin dispatched a first group of Stasi advisors alongside materiel, chiefly state of the art radio communication equipment and communication interception technology.⁷² By the end of the year, equipment worth more than 12 million Marks had been gifted to the Ethiopian authorities as "material solidarity", a figure that would rise further over the following two years.⁷³ The second area of collaboration concerned the radical reconfiguration of Ethiopia's intelligence services "after the example of the socialist states."⁷⁴ Under the reign of Emperor Haile Selassie and under the early *Derg*, Ethiopia's intelligence apparatus had been built on an American model, trained and equipped by Israelis as well as by Americans.⁷⁵ Rival intelligence services of the Army, of Internal Security and of Central Investigation all worked independently and were partly responsible for controlling and balancing each other. In collaboration with the Stasi, this model was to be jettisoned in favour of a centralised intelligence service under a new Ministry of State and Public Security. While the reorganisation would not be complete until 1979, first steps towards centralisation had already occurred by August of 1977.⁷⁶

The immediate objectives, in both cases, revolved around local intelligence gathered at *Kebele* level. There remained much room for gaining better information about local opposition cells and activities, but above all there was an urgent need to coordinate and respond to such intelligence as was being gathered through interrogations, denunciations and confessions. The rapid and extensive investment in radio communication technology, for instance, was intended to equip mobile and stationary task forces that were formed in October across all 23 of Addis Ababa's *Keftegnas* in order "to combat hostile activities [within the *Keftegnas*]"⁷⁷ Initial efforts to centralise the work of the intelligence services likewise focused on the *Kebele* and *Kefteгна*: the transmission, collection and processing of locally gathered information was successfully improved, which allowed opposition networks to be mapped and, through greater coordination of local interventions, to be systematically dismantled.⁷⁸ To this end, Stasi agents stationed in Ethiopia worked directly with several *Kefteгна* chairmen as well as with agents of the Ethiopian Central Investigation Department.⁷⁹ Whereas *Kebele* officials like Kelbessa Negewo had acted with significant autonomy in seeking to repress oppositional activities in their districts during the early stages of the red terror, they increasingly found themselves working within centralised command structures by late 1977.

The effects of these changes were soon palpable on the ground: opposition activists found

⁷¹ MfS Abt. X91, 180, 259; MfS Zaig 5115, 91. See also the pioneering, albeit tentative, study by Toni Weis, which remains the only work to date to highlight the connection between the Stasi and the Ethiopian Red Terror: T. Weis, 'Handcuffs for "Operation Friendship": The Red Terror seen from the archives of the East German secret service' in ERTDRC: *Documenting the Red Terror: Bearing Witness to Ethiopia's Lost Generation* (Ottawa, 2012).

⁷² MfS Abt.X91, 274.

⁷³ MfS HVA 74.

⁷⁴ MfS Zaig 5115, 74.

⁷⁵ MfS Zaig 5115, 74. See also Y. Gidron, *Israel in Africa* (London, forthcoming).

⁷⁶ MfS Zaig 5115, 80.

⁷⁷ MfS Abt.X91, 305. All translations from the original German are by the first author.

⁷⁸ MfS HA I Nr.13548.

⁷⁹ MfS Abt. X91, 27f.

their phones tapped, their comrades disappearing, their infiltrators in government institutions exposed and remaining cell structures dissolved.⁸⁰ The violence of the red terror became more selective and, as a result, more effective. As an internal Stasi memo noted, “the ‘white terror’ fanned by the counter-revolution is being crushed by the ‘red terror’ organised by the *Kebele*”.⁸¹ By late October, it could be reported that “while counter-revolutionaries continue their hostile activities to discomfit the population, they have become significantly less active.”⁸² The EPRP, which over the preceding years “had attracted many of the most educated and talented young Ethiopians” and had rapidly grown a substantial following, was left with a decimated leadership and unable to coordinate, let alone protect its members.⁸³ The party’s armed squads ceased to effectively deter collaboration with the *Derg*, which had hitherto been one of their chief objectives.⁸⁴ Moreover, confessions and denunciations received by *Kebele* officials increasingly came from EPRP members themselves, who lost their confidence and hope in the party or simply ran out of options.⁸⁵ As one disillusioned EPRP activist wrote, at the close of 1977 “the EPRP was fully repressed”.⁸⁶

By this point, *Meison* had abandoned its support for the *Derg* and gone underground, turning members of the organisation into additional targets of the red terror. The swift and effective repression of *Meison* occurred despite the organisation’s popularity among *Kebele* officials, and against objections from the regime’s East German allies, who had regarded *Meison* as a “progressive” and moderating influence on the Ethiopian government.⁸⁷ The *Kebele* had by this point become effectively integrated into the command structure of the revolutionary Ethiopian state, bringing an end to the earlier decentralised stages of the red terror.⁸⁸ By early 1978, *Meison* too was *de facto* eliminated, and by the end of the year an internal Stasi memo could note that “there is currently no oppositional group that would be capable of carrying out an organised subversive activity against Mengistu and his followers.”⁸⁹

The structure of the *Kebele* was central to the tragic success of the red terror, and was itself transformed beyond recognition by its developing role as a hub of state violence. As a broad range of actors - from local residents over government figures to foreign security experts - brought their knowledge and resources to bear on these institutions, acting synergistically despite a great diversity of motives, they jointly produced both the violence of the red terror and the *Kebele* as a powerfully coercive institution. Established to solve problems of urban administration and political mobilisation thrown up by the revolution, the *Kebele* swiftly developed into arguably the single most effective structure of state control over society in sub-Saharan Africa, a tool of governance so compelling that - with some

⁸⁰ Kiflu Tadesse, *The Generation Part II*, 228, 324.

⁸¹ MfS HVA 74, 176-9.

⁸² *ibid.*

⁸³ Dawit Wolde Giorgis, *Red Tears*, 26; cf. first author’s interviews with Girma Bashe, 14 March 2012, and Gedion Wolde Amanuel, 19 March 2012.

⁸⁴ Kiflu Tadesse, *The Generation Part II*, 157-8.

⁸⁵ This shift is widely noted in oral interviews and in memoirs of former activists. See e.g. Mohamed Yiman, *Wore Negari*; Hiwot Teferra, *Tower in the Sky*; Mekonnen Getu, *The Undreamt*.

⁸⁶ Mohamed Yiman, *Wore Negari*, 148.

⁸⁷ MfS HA Nr. 69, 272-7; MfS HVA 74, 183.

⁸⁸ *Contra* Bahru Zewde, who regards the *Netsa Ermeja* [‘Independent Measure’, ie the encouragement of autonomous extrajudicial violence in the wake of the May Day 1977 massacres by the *Derg*] as the final phase of the red terror: ‘The History of the Red Terror’, 28.

⁸⁹ Andargachew Assegid, በአጭር የተቀጨ ረጅም ጉዞ, 425-6; Wudu Tafete, ‘Meison’, 33; MfS HVA 74, 176-9.

changes - it outlasted not only the red terror but even the *Derg* regime itself.

CONCLUSION

Analyses of the Ethiopian red terror have followed a well-worn path, entrenching a now hegemonic set of approaches and narrative patterns. This article has argued the need to rethink the history of this political violence, paying closer attention to actors, institutions and interactions that have insufficiently featured in the historiography. Trade unions and the newly established *Kebele* administrations in particular are shown to have acted as arenas within which the histories, struggles and interests of very different sets of actors - from local residents and militant activists to government leaders and their international allies - collided or converged, synergistically producing the period's political violence. In the form, targets and evolution of this violence, the red terror reflected its diverse sources and the agency of its multiple architects. When approached from the vantage point of this joint production within contested institutions, defining episodes of red terror such as the Berhanena Selam killings appear in the new light of their social histories, as products of struggles over the labour movement that were made possible by the militarisation of the *Kebele*.

As this paper has demonstrated, the history of collective violence in the red terror is also the history of consequential socio-political and institutional changes. Much as the red terror was shaped by the struggle of diverse actors over trade unions and within the *Kebele* administrations, so these institutional settings themselves were radically transformed by these tensions and the by the violence that brought an end to them. The red terror not only exterminated the most vibrant organisations in Ethiopian civil society, among which the labour movement featured prominently; it also played a role in bequeathing to Ethiopia a powerful and intrusive state presence at the heart of urban communities, frequently staffed by officials who had played prominent roles in the death, torture or detention of sizeable population groups within their districts. These effects of the red terror point to a wider dynamic: the exceptional degree of knowledge and influence over urban society at the local level achieved by Ethiopian state actors, frequently ascribed to the country's distinctive history over the *longue durée*,⁹⁰ also needs to be understood in light of the institutional transformations and political violence of the 1970s. Rethinking the red terror, then, is necessary for the sake of understanding Ethiopia's consequent history, as much as it is in order to make sense of these violent years as a deeply significant period in its own right.

⁹⁰ As argued most recently by C. Clapham: *The Horn of Africa: state formation and decay* (London, 2017).